

RESEARCH PAPERS

Well-posedness of Cauchy problems for Korteweg-de Vries-Benjamin-Ono equation and Hirota equation

HUO Zhaohui¹ and GUO Boling^{2,*}

(1. Graduate School, China Academy of Engineering Physics, P. O. Box 2101, Beijing 100088, China; 2. Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, P. O. Box 8009, Beijing 100088, China)

Received September 1, 2003; revised October 14, 2003

Abstract The well-posedness of the Cauchy problems to the Korteweg-de Vries-Benjamin-Ono equation and Hirota equation is considered. For the Korteweg-de Vries-Benjamin-Ono equation, local result is established for data in $H^s(R)$ ($s \geq -\frac{1}{8}$). Moreover, the global well-posedness for data in $L^2(R)$ can be obtained. For Hirota equation, local result is established for initial data in H^s ($s \geq \frac{1}{4}$). In addition, the local solution is proved to be global in H^s ($s \geq 1$) if the initial data are in H^s ($s \geq 1$) by energy inequality and the generalization of the trilinear estimates associated with the Fourier restriction norm method.

Keywords: Korteweg de Vries Benjamin-Ono equation, Hirota equation, the Fourier restriction norm, low regularity data.

1 Introduction

We investigate the existence and uniqueness of the solutions to the Cauchy problems of the following nonlinear dispersive equations

$$\partial_t u + \alpha \mathcal{H} \partial_x^2 u + \beta \partial_x^3 u + \partial_x (u^2) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t u + i\alpha \partial_x^2 u + \beta \partial_x^3 u + \gamma \partial_x (|u|^2 u) = 0, \quad (2)$$

respectively with the initial value

$$u(x, 0) = \varphi(x) \in H^s, \quad (3)$$

where $x \in R$, $t \in R$. β , α , γ are real constants. $P.V.$ denotes the Cauchy principal value, \mathcal{H} denotes the Hilbert transform

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = P.V. \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{f(x-y)}{y} dy.$$

Korteweg-de Vries-Benjamin-Ono equation models the unidirectional propagation of long waves in a two-fluid system, where the lower fluid with greater density is infinitely deep and the interface is subject to capillarity. It was derived by Benjamin in the study on gravity-capillary surface waves of solitary type on deep water. Several efforts are devoted to study of existence, stability and asymptotic of solitary waves solutions of (1) ~ (3), see for instance in Refs. [1, 2]. Linares^[3] showed that there exist unique local and global solutions for the Cauchy problem of (1) ~

(3) for initial data in L^2 with constant coefficients $\alpha, \beta > 0$. In this paper, we are only interested in the study of well-posedness for the Cauchy problem with low regularity data here. We will prove that the Cauchy problem of (1) ~ (3) is locally well-posed in H^s ($-\frac{1}{8} \leq s$) and globally well-posed in L^2 without the condition $\alpha, \beta > 0$ by using the Fourier restriction norm method and the contraction mapping principle. The Fourier restriction norm method was first introduced by Bourgain^[4] to study the KdV and nonlinear Schrödinger equations in the periodic case. It was simplified by Kenig, Ponce and Vega in Refs. [5, 6]. We follow their ideas to prove our results.

Hirota equation is a typical model of mathematical physics, which encompasses the well-known nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the modified KdV equation, and especially contains the nonlinear derivative Schrödinger equation^[7-9]. Lots of work concerns the local and global existence and uniqueness of solutions of the equations. In Ref. [10], Guo and Tan obtained the global smooth solution of the Cauchy problem of (2) ~ (3) by the energy method. In Ref. [11], Laurey obtained the local well-posedness in H^s ($s > \frac{3}{4}$) and global well-posedness in

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: gbl@mail.iapcm.ac.cn

$H^s (s \geq 2, s = 1)$ for the Cauchy problem of (2) ~ (3). Therefore, we only consider global well-posedness of Cauchy problem of (2) ~ (3) in $H^s (1 \leq s \leq 2)$ here.

In this paper, we will prove the local well-posedness of Cauchy problem of (2) ~ (3) in $H^s \left[s \geq \frac{1}{4} \right]$ by the Fourier restriction norm method. Moreover if the initial data $\varphi(x) \in H^1$, then we can prove that there exists a unique local solution to the Cauchy problem of (2) ~ (3) and its a priori estimates. Therefore, we can extend the local solution to be global in H^1 . For initial data $\varphi(x) \in H^s (1 < s \leq 2) \subset H^1$, first we can obtain that there exists a unique local solution $u \in C((0, T_0); H^1)$, and the solution satisfies $\|u\|_{H^1} \leq C$ by the energy inequality. Using the properties of the solution and the generalization of trilinear estimates, we can prove that the above solution belongs to $C((0, T_0); H^s) (1 < s \leq 2)$. In order to extend the solution of (2) ~ (3) to any time $T > 0$ in H^s , we make the iteration scheme as follows: take $u(T_0)$ as the initial data, and get the local solution $u \in C((T_0, T_1); H^1)$. Similarly as above, the solution is in $C((T_0, T_1); H^s) (1 < s \leq 2)$. In fact we can continue the above process of $\frac{T}{T_0}$ steps by a priori estimate $\|u\|_{H^1} \leq C$.

Both of the equations have similar properties because Korteweg-de Vries-Benjamin-Ono equation and Hirota equation have the dispersive term $\partial_x^3 u$. Therefore, we can solve them by the same method.

To study the above problem, we use the integral equivalent formulations

$$u = S(t)\varphi - \int_0^t S(t-t')F(t')dt'$$

where $S(t) = \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} e^{it(\beta\xi^3 - \alpha\xi|\xi|)} \mathcal{F}_x$ or $S(t) = \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} e^{it(\alpha\xi^2 + \beta\xi^3)} \mathcal{F}_x$ are the unitary operators associated to the linear equations respectively. $F(x, t) = \partial_x(u^2)$ or $\partial_x(|u|^2 u)$. For simplicity, denote $\phi(\xi) = \beta\xi^3 - \alpha\xi|\xi|$, or $\phi(\xi) = \alpha\xi^2 + \beta\xi^3$.

We introduce the notation of Bourgain' space. For $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the space $X_{s,b}$ as the completion of the Schwartz function space on \mathbb{R}^2 with respect to the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{X_{s,b}} &= \|S(-t)u\|_{H_x^s H_t^b} \\ &= \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau - \phi(\xi) \rangle^b \mathcal{F}u\|_{L_x^2 L_\tau^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \cdot \rangle = (1 + |\cdot|).$$

Denote $\hat{u}(\tau, \xi) = \mathcal{F}u$ by the Fourier transform in t and x of u and $\mathcal{F}_\tau u$ by the Fourier transform in the (\cdot) variable. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\psi \equiv 1$ on $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $\text{supp } \psi \subset [-1, 1]$. $\psi_\delta(t) = \psi\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)$.

For the Korteweg-de Vries-Benjamin-Ono equation, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 1. Let $-\frac{1}{8} \leq s, \frac{1}{2} < b < \frac{5}{8}$. Then there exists a constant $T > 0$, Cauchy problem of (1) ~ (3) admits a unique local solution $u(x, t) \in C((0, T); H^s) \cap X_{s,b}$ with $\varphi \in H^s$. Moreover, given $t \in (0, T)$, the mapping $\varphi \rightarrow u(t)$ is Lipschitz continuous from H^s to $C((0, T); H^s)$.

The smooth solution of Cauchy problem of (1) ~ (3) is proved to satisfy the L^2 conservation law, so is the solution to the Cauchy problem of (1) ~ (3) for $s \geq 0$. Then we have the global well-posedness of Cauchy problem of (1) ~ (3) for data in L^2 .

Theorem 2. For $s = 0$, the solution obtained in Theorem 1 can be extended for any $T > 0$.

For the Hirota equation, we have

Theorem 3. Let $s \geq \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} < b < \frac{5}{8}$. Then there exists a constant $T > 0$, such that the Cauchy problem of (2) ~ (3) admits a unique local solution $u(x, t) \in C((0, T); H^s) \cap X_{s,b}$ with $\varphi \in H^s$. Moreover, given $t \in (0, T)$, the map $\varphi \rightarrow u(t)$ is Lipschitz continuous from H^s to $C((0, T); H^s)$.

Theorem 4. Let $s \geq 1$, the Cauchy problem of (2) ~ (3) is global well-posed in H^s with initial data $\varphi \in H^s (s \geq 1)$.

Indeed, one can obtain local well-posedness of Cauchy problems of (1) ~ (3) and (2) ~ (3) by the Picard iteration method provided that

$$\|\partial_x(u_1 u_2)\|_{X_{s,b-1}} \leq C \|u_1\|_{X_{s,b}} \|u_2\|_{X_{s,b}}, \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x(u_1 u_2 \bar{u}_3)\|_{X_{s,b-1}} \\ \leq C \|u_1\|_{X_{s,b}} \|u_2\|_{X_{s,b}} \|u_3\|_{X_{s,b}}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

hold for some $b > \frac{1}{2}$. We only need to prove the multi-linear estimates (4) and (5) to obtain the local well-posedness of Cauchy problems. Therefore, we

need the following preliminary estimates.

2 Preliminary estimates

In this section, we shall deduce several estimates. Let us use the notations

$$P^N f = \int_{|\xi| \geq N} e^{ix\xi} f(\xi) d\xi,$$

$$P_N f = \int_{|\xi| \leq N} e^{ix\xi} f(\xi) d\xi,$$

$$\|f\|_{L_x^p L_t^q} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x, t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

$$\|f\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^s} = \| \|f\|_{H_x^s} \|_{L_t^\infty},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(\xi, \tau) = \frac{f(\xi, \tau)}{(1 + |\tau - \phi(\xi)|)^\rho}$$

a is a constant, which depends on α, β .

Lemma 1.^[12] The group $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}^{+\infty}$ satisfies $\|S(t)\varphi\|_{L_x^8 L_t^8} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^2}$. (6)

Lemma 2. $\|D_x S(t) P^{2a} \varphi\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^2}$, (7)

$\|D_x^{-\frac{1}{4}} S(t) P^a \varphi\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^2}$, (8)

$\|D_x^{\frac{1}{6}} P^{2a} S(t) \varphi\|_{L_x^6 L_t^6} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^2}$. (9)

Remark. In the proof of Lemma 2, we introduce the operator P^N to eliminate the nonzero singular point of phase function $\phi(\xi)$. We mainly refer to Ref.[13].

Lemma 3. If $\rho > \frac{1}{2}, \forall N > 0$
 $\|P_N F_\rho\|_{L_x^2 L_t^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2}$.

Lemma 4. (i) If $\rho > \frac{1}{3}$, then
 $\|F_\rho\|_{L_x^4 L_t^4} \leq C \|f\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2}$.

(ii) If $\rho > \frac{2}{9}$, then
 $\|F_\rho\|_{L_x^3 L_t^3} \leq C \|f\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2}$.

Lemma 5. (i) Let $\rho > \frac{\theta}{2}$ with $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Then
 $\|D_x^\theta P^{2a} F_\rho\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\theta}} L_t^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2}$.

(ii) Let $\rho > \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\|D_x^{-\frac{1}{4}} P^{2a} F_\rho\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2}.$$

(iii) If $\rho > \frac{3}{8}$, then

$$\|D_x^{\frac{1}{8}} P^{2a} F_\rho\|_{L_x^4 L_t^4} \leq C \|f\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2}.$$

Remark. We can obtain Lemmas 3, 4 and 5 by Lemmas 1 and 2.

Lemma 6. We assume that functions \bar{f}, f_1, f_2, f_3 belong to schwartz space on R^2 .

$$\int_{\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3, \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3} \bar{f}(\xi, \tau) f_1(\xi_1, \tau_1) \cdot f_2(\xi_2, \tau_2) f_3(\xi_3, \tau_3) d\delta = \int f f_1 f_2 f_3(x, t) dx dt.$$

$$\int_{\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2, \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2} \bar{f}(\xi, \tau) f_1(\xi_1, \tau_1) f_2(\xi_2, \tau_2) d\delta = \int \bar{f} f_1 f_2(x, t) dx dt.$$

Lemma 7. Let $s \in R, \frac{1}{2} < b < b' < 1, 0 < \delta < 1$. Then we have

$$\|\psi_\delta(t) S(t) \varphi\|_{X_{s,b}} \leq C \delta^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \|\varphi\|_{H^s},$$

$$\left\| \psi_\delta(t) \int_0^t S(t-\tau) F(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{X_{s,b}} \leq C \delta^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \|F\|_{X_{s,b-1}},$$

$$\left\| \psi_\delta(t) \int_0^t S(t-\tau) F(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^s} \leq C \delta^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \|F\|_{X_{s,b-1}},$$

$$\|\psi_\delta(t) F\|_{X_{s,b-1}} \leq C \delta^{b'-b} \|F\|_{X_{s,b'-1}}.$$

3 Local results

In this section, we will obtain (4) and (5), and we have the following theorems.

Theorem 5. Let $\frac{1}{2} < b$ be close enough to $\frac{1}{2}$. For $\frac{1}{2} < b'$ and $s \geq -\frac{1}{8}$, we have
 $\|\partial_x(u_1 u_2)\|_{X_{s,b-1}} \leq C \|u_1\|_{X_{s,b'}} \|u_2\|_{X_{s,b'}}$.

Theorem 6. If $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2} < b < \frac{5}{8}$, $b' > \frac{1}{2}$,

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \partial_x(u_1 u_2 \bar{u}_3) \|_{X_{s,b-1}} \\ & \leq C \| u_1 \|_{X_{s,b'}} \| u_2 \|_{X_{s,b'}} \| u_3 \|_{X_{s,b'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. In the proof of Theorems 5 and 6, we introduce the operator P^N , choose appropriate N , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| D_x S(t) P^N \varphi \|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq C \| \varphi \|_{L^2}, \\ & \| S(t) P^N \varphi \|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq C \| \varphi \|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \end{aligned}$$

which are called global and local smoothing effects of dispersive equations respectively. Therefore, we will divide the Bourgain' space into two parts ($|\xi| \leq N$ and $|\xi| \geq N$). We use the above global and local smoothing effects to prove the result in $|\xi| \geq N$ part (we mainly use Lemma 5 to prove it), use Strichartz estimate to prove it in $|\xi| \leq N$ part (we mainly use Lemmas 3 and 4 to prove it).

Next, we give the outline proofs of Theorems 1 and 3. For $\varphi \in H^s$ we define the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \psi_1(t) S(t) \varphi \\ &\quad - \psi_1(t) \int_0^t S(t-t') \psi_b(t') F(t') dt', \end{aligned}$$

and the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ u \in X_{s,b} : \| u \|_{X_{s,b}} \leq C \| \varphi \|_{H^s} \}.$$

In order to show that Φ is a contraction mapping on \mathcal{B} we first prove

$$\Phi(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}$$

We first consider linear estimates (Lemma 7)

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \psi_b(t) S(t) \varphi \|_{X_{s,b}} \leq C \delta^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \| \varphi \|_{H^s}, \\ & \left\| \psi_b(t) \int_0^t S(t-t') F(t') dt' \right\|_{X_{s,b}} \\ & \leq C \delta^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \| F \|_{X_{s,b-1}}, \\ & \left\| \psi_b(t) \int_0^t S(t-t') F(t') dt' \right\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^s} \\ & \leq C \delta^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \| F \|_{X_{s,b-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider nonlinear estimates (Theorems 5 and 6).

For the Korteweg-de Vries-Benjamin-Ono equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \Phi(u) \|_{X_{s,b}} \leq C \| \varphi \|_{H^s} + C \delta^{k'-b} \| u \|_{X_{s,b}}^2 \\ & \leq C \| \varphi \|_{H^s} + C \delta^{k'-b} \| \varphi \|_{H^s} \| u \|_{X_{s,b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if fix δ such that $C \delta^{(k'-b)} \| \varphi \|_{H^s} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then we have

$$\Phi(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}$$

Let $u, v \in \mathcal{B}$ in an analogous way to above, we obtain

$$\| \Phi(u) - \Phi(v) \|_{X_{s,b}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \| u - v \|_{X_{s,b}}.$$

Therefore, Φ is a contraction mapping on \mathcal{B} . There exists a unique fixed point which solves the Cauchy problem for $T < \delta$.

For the Hirota equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \Phi(u) \|_{X_{s,b}} \leq C \| \varphi \|_{H^s} + C \delta^{k'-b} \| u \|_{X_{s,b}}^3 \\ & \leq C \| \varphi \|_{H^s} + C \delta^{k'-b} \| \varphi \|_{H^s}^2 \| u \|_{X_{s,b}}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, if we fix δ such that $C \delta^{k'-b} \| \varphi \|_{H^s}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\Phi(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}$$

Similarly with the Korteweg-de Vries-Benjamin-Ono equation, Φ is a contraction mapping on \mathcal{B} . There exists a unique fixed point which solves the Cauchy problem for $T < \delta$.

4 Global solution in H^s ($1 \leq s \leq 2$) of the Hirota equation

In this section, we have the following generalization of the trilinear estimate of the Hirota equation.

Lemma 8. Let $s \geq 0$, $\frac{1}{2} < b \leq \frac{2}{3}$, $b' > \frac{1}{2}$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| u_1 u_2 \partial_x(\bar{u}_3) \|_{X_{s,b-1}} \\ & \leq C \| u_1 \|_{X_{s_1,b'}} \| u_2 \|_{X_{s_2,b'}} \| u_3 \|_{X_{s_3,b'}}, \tag{10} \\ & \| u_1 \bar{u}_2 \partial_x(u_3) \|_{X_{s,b-1}} \\ & \leq C \| u_1 \|_{X_{s_1,b'}} \| u_2 \|_{X_{s_2,b'}} \| u_3 \|_{X_{s_3,b'}}, \tag{11} \end{aligned}$$

where we choose non-negative different numbers (s_1, s_2, s_3) to satisfy the following cases:

$$s_1 + s_3 \geq 2b - 1, \quad s_2 + s_3 \geq 2b - 1, \tag{12}$$

$$s - s_2 \leq 1, \quad s - s_1 \leq 1, \tag{13}$$

$$1 + s_1 \geq s, \quad 1 + s_2 \geq s, \tag{14}$$

$$s_1 + s_3 \geq \frac{2}{3}, \quad s_2 + s_3 \geq \frac{2}{3}, \quad (15)$$

$$s = s_3, \quad (16)$$

$$s - \min\{1 - b, s_2\} \leq s_1 + s_3 + 1 - 2b, \quad (17)$$

$$1 - \min\{1 - b, s_2\} - s_3 \leq s_1 - s + 2(1 - b). \quad (18)$$

In fact, we choose $1 \leq s = s_3 \leq 2$, $1 \leq s_1$, $s_2 \leq 2$, then (10) and (11) hold.

Lemma 9. If initial data $\varphi \in H^1$, then Cauchy problem of (2) ~ (3) is local well-posed. Moreover, the solution u satisfies $\|u\|_{H^1} \leq C$.

Remark. We use generalization of the trilinear estimate to make the local solution u of the Cauchy problem of (2) ~ (3) global in H^s ($1 < s \leq 2$) for data in H^s by energy inequality of solution u in H^1 . Therefore we can obtain the solution $u \in C((0, \infty); H^s)$ for initial data $\varphi \in H^s$ ($1 \leq s \leq 2$).

References

- 1 Angulo, J. Existence and stability of solitary wave solutions of the Benjamin equation. *J. Diff. Eq.*, 1999, 152(1): 136.
- 2 Benjamin, T. B. A new kind of solitary waves. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 1992, 245: 401.
- 3 Linares, F. L^2 Global well-posedness of the initial value problem associated to the Benjamin equation. *J. Diff. Eq.*, 1999, 152: 377.
- 4 Bourgain, J. Fourier restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations part I: Schrödinger equation, part II: the KdV equation. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 1993, 2: 107, 209.
- 5 Kenig, C. E. et al. The Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices. *Duke Math. J.*, 1993, 71: 1.
- 6 Kenig, C. E. et al. A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1996, 9: 573.
- 7 Ozawa, T. On the nonlinear Schrödinger equations of derivative type. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 1996, 45: 137.
- 8 Takaoka, H. Well-posedness for the one dimensional Schrödinger equation with the derivative nonlinearity. *Adv. Diff. Eq.*, 1999, 4: 561.
- 9 Takaoka, H. Global well-posedness for Schrödinger equation with derivative in a nonlinear term and data in low-order Sobolev Spaces. *Elec. J. Diff. Eq.*, 2001, 42: 1.
- 10 Guo, B. et al. Global smooth solution for nonlinear evolution equation of Hirota type. *Science In China (A)*, 1992, 35: 1425.
- 11 Laurey, C. The Cauchy problem for a third order nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, 1997, 29: 121.
- 12 Tan, S. et al. Long time behavior for solution of generalized nonlinear evolution equations. *Chinese Annals of Mathematics*, 1995, 16A(2): 127.
- 13 Kenig, C. E. et al. Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 1991, 40: 33.